Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,974	KARAU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nancy Bitar	2624
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Nancy Bitar.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr.William Zychlewics</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2007</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes · If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-47</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. ﴿	g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: Restriction Requirement elected with traverse Claims 1-21 and 26-39 are withdrawn	, group 2 that belongs to claim	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	JOSE SUPERVISOR	TH MANCUSO Y PATENT EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required